

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/916,802   | 07/27/2001  | John Haig Marsh      | P-6251 (301446-000019)  | 2109             |
| 7590   | 10/01/2002  |                      |                         |                  |
| Jefferson Perkins<br>Piper Marbury Rudnick & Wolfe<br>P.O. Box 64807 |             |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
|  |             |                      | DAVIE, JAMES W          |                  |
| Chicago, IL 6044   | 10-0807     | ·                    | <u></u>                 | ·                |
| Cinculation 12 do the door   |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |             |                      | 2828                    |                  |
|  |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 10/01/2002 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <u> </u>  |   |                          |  | <u>-</u> <u>-</u> */ |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--------------------------|--|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   |   | Application No.          | Applicant(s)   | 1                    |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | 09/916,802               | MARSH ET AL.   |                      |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | Examiner                 | Art Unit   |                      |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | James W Davie            | 2828   |                      |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |   |                          |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |   |                          |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
| 1)🖂   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27.   | July 2001 .              |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)□  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th   | nis action is non-final. |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |                          |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |                          |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>   |   |                          |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |                          |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
| ·   |   |                          |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
|   | 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |                          |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
| , , , ,   | Claim(s) 1-30 are subject to restriction and/or   | election requirement.    |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
| 1 -   | ion Papers  |                          |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                          |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
| 10)   | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce  | pted or b) objected to   | by the Examiner.   |                      |  |  |  |  |
| -   | Applicant may not request that any objection to the   |                          |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
| 11)□  | The proposed drawing correction filed on  | _ is: a)□ approved b)[   | disapproved by the Examine   | r.                   |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |   |                          |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                          |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |   |                          |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |   |                          |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:   |   |                          |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>  |   |                          |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |   |                          |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |   |                          |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |   |                          |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   |   |                          |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |                          |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
| 2) 🔲 Notic  | ce of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)<br>mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) 🔲 Notic               | riew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(<br>e of Informal Patent Application (PTC<br>: |                      |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/916,802

Art Unit: 2828

ويعرب رساء

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-22 and 27-30, drawn to a device, classified in class 372, subclass 46.
- II. Claims 23-26, drawn to a method, classified in class 438, subclass 29.

  The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions of Group I and Group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the device of Group I could be made by a method that does not include the step of providing for an optically active portion as is required in claims 23-26.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/916,802

Art Unit: 2828

¥"هرائ<sub>ي وسنسانهم</sub>

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James W Davie whose telephone number is (703) 308-4847. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7724 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

James W Davie Primary Examiner Art Unit 2828

jd

September 30, 2002